

| Subject:                              | Reforming the Rating System - Rates: Rethink           | Consu   | Itation  |       |    |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------|----------|-------|----|
| Date:                                 | 20 January 2017  |         |          |       |    |
| Reporting Officer:                    | Ronan Cregan, Deputy Chief Executive and Dir Resources | ector o | of Finai | nce a | nd |
| Contact Officer:                      | Mark McBride, Head of Finance and Performan            | ce      |          |       |    |
|                                       |  |         |          |       |    |
| Is this report restricted?            |  | Yes     |          | No    | X  |
| Is the decision eligible for Call-in? |  | Yes     | X        | No    |    |

| 1.0 | Purpose of Report or Summary of main Issues   |
|-----|---|
| 1.1 | This report provides a draft Council response to the Department of Finance consultation     |
|     | paper on "Reforming the Rating System, Rates: Rethink, Spurring Economic Growth"            |
|     | The consultation paper was issued on the 16 December 2016 and the consultation period       |
|     | ends on the 16 February 2017.   |
| 2.0 | Recommendations   |
| 2.1 | The Committee is asked to;  |
|     | Agree the draft Council response included as Appendix 2.                                    |
| 3.0 | Main report   |
|     | Review of the Business Rates System   |
| 3.1 | In November 2015 the (then) Department of Finance and personnel launched a public           |
|     | consultation seeking responses to a review of the non-domestic rating system. The Strategic |
|     | Policy and Resources Committee agreed a response to this major consultation, together       |
|     | with a further consultation in March 2016 on the Review of rate liability in the Domestic   |
|     | Rental Sector.  |
| 3.2 | The current consultation document "Rates: Rethink" (Appendix 1) further develops and        |
|     | broadens the issues previously considered and makes policy proposals which aim to deliver   |
|     | a fitter and more acceptable rating system for citizens and businesses and which spur       |
|     | economic growth.  |

|      | Overview of the Consultation Paper   |  |  |
|------|--|--|--|
| 3.3  | The consultation paper includes the following proposed measures:                                 |  |  |
|      | <ul> <li>A new £22m a year Rates Investment Scheme for smaller retail and hospitality</li> </ul> |  |  |
|      | business.  |  |  |
|      | Piloting Business Empowerment Zones in two areas (Lower Newtownards Road                         |  |  |
|      | and Lower Falls Road).   |  |  |
|      | Increasing rates on empty commercial premises.   |  |  |
|      | Charity shops to make a contribution.  |  |  |
|      | Charging the highest value homes more.   |  |  |
|      | Removing the early payment discount.   |  |  |
|      | Reducing landlord allowances.  |  |  |
|      | Student halls of residence to start paying rates.  |  |  |
|      | <ul> <li>A 3 year rates holiday for first residents of new energy efficient homes.</li> </ul>    |  |  |
| 3.4  | The following paragraphs provide a brief summary of the policy proposals in each area and        |  |  |
|      | the proposed Council response as detailed in Appendix 2.   |  |  |
|      | Investment in Small Business   |  |  |
| 3.5  | Proposal to replace the existing Small Business Rates Relief Scheme (SBRR) with a new            |  |  |
|      | scheme targeting retail and hospitality. A commitment to provide £22m to fund the scheme         |  |  |
|      | which should double average awards. The proposals include two options for allocating relief,     |  |  |
|      | one of which favours lower Net Annual Values (NAV's)   |  |  |
| 3.6  | The Council has previously responded supporting the replacement of the SBRR Scheme               |  |  |
|      | with a scheme which would include a focus on new start-ups and expanding SME's.                  |  |  |
|      | Small Business Empowerment Zones   |  |  |
| 3.7  | Proposal for two pilot Zones (Lower Falls and Lower Newtownards Road). Zone reliefs to           |  |  |
|      | be in place for 3 years, with automatic 50% relief within zone regardless of NAV (unless         |  |  |
|      | already receiving higher) and Back in Business Scheme (occupation of vacant properties)          |  |  |
|      | to be enhanced (75% Yr1 Relief and 50% years 2 and 3)  |  |  |
| 3.8  | The Council is not in a position to comment on the specific proposals as the financial           |  |  |
|      | implications in relation to the potential rates income losses and whether local government       |  |  |
|      | will be reimbursed for additional reliefs is unknown.  |  |  |
|      | Revitalising our High Streets  |  |  |
| 3.9  | Proposal based on Living over the Shops (LOTS) and Town Centre Living initiatives.               |  |  |
|      | Proposed 100% rates exemption for converted property, with exemption not transferrable.          |  |  |
| 3.10 | The Council has previously responded in support of revitalising High Streets, but                |  |  |
|      | emphasised that interventions to encourage city centre living should include the wider           |  |  |

|       | Domestic Rates Cap   |
|-------|--|
|       | proposals.   |
| 3.20  | On a principle of fairness the Council would support the spectator stands and de minimus   |
|       | anomaly.   |
| J. 18 | assessment. Also proposal to review the non sporting area 20% disregard "de minimus"   |
| 3.19  | Sports & Recreation Relief  Proposal to include spectator stands that do not generate income in sports and recreation                  |
|       | The Council would support a review of the definition and the input of local government.  |
| 3.17  | Proposal to review the definition of the scheme and widen the decision making beyond LPS.  |
| 3.17  | Hardship Relief  Proposal to review the definition of the scheme and widen the decision making beyond LPS                              |
|       | important outcome of the City Centre Regeneration Strategy and Growing the Rate Base.  |
|       | will be required for new accommodation. New student accommodation in Belfast is an   |
|       | students in private accommodation who pay rates and citizens who pay for services which  |
| 3.16  | The Council has already argued for this exemption to be removed as it is unfair to those   |
| 3.15  | Proposal to remove existing 100% exemption for University Halls of Residence.  |
|       | Halls of Residence   |
|       | Scotland 10% after 3 months.   |
|       | Britain. N.B. Relief would still be more favourable than in England Nil after 3 months,  |
|       | support the reduction in relief after the 3 month period from 50% to 25% to more align with  |
|       | could have a negative impact on city centre development projects. The council would  |
| 3.14  | The Council would strongly object to the removal of the 3 month 100% exemption as this   |
|       | relief from 50% to 25%.  |
| 3.13  | Proposals to end the current 3 month 100% vacancy exemption and to reduce the current  |
|       | Non-Domestic Empty Property Relief   |
|       | rates.   |
| 3.12  | The Council has responded previously with the view that charity shops should pay some  |
|       | charity superstores.   |
|       | to discourage charities occupying prime retail sites and to reduce relief on planned new   |
| 5.11  | threshold for relief of NAV £25k (same as SBRR Replacement Proposals) should be applied  |
| 3.11  | Proposals that charity shops should pay 20% rates (currently exempt) and that an upper   |
|       | Charity Shops  |
|       | requires the flexibility for temporary targeted business case relief for developments such as Grade A office accommodation and hotels. |
|       | requires the flevibility for temperary targeted business ages relief for developments such as  |

|      | regional rate element of the rates bill.  |
|------|---|
| 3.22 | Responses to be considered by individual political parties, however if any change is made           |
|      | it should benefit local government and not just central government.                                 |
|      | Early Payment Discount  |
| 3.23 | Proposal to remove existing 4% domestic rates discount for up-front payment.                        |
| 3.24 | The Council currently incur a loss of 45% (district rate element) of the 4% discount, with no       |
|      | benefit, as rates payments are made to the Council based on 1/12 <sup>th</sup> of estimated income. |
|      | Landlord Allowance  |
| 3.25 | Proposal to reduce the current allowance from 10% to 5%. This applies to Landlords who              |
|      | are responsible for paying rates (rather than tenant) i.e. Mandatory if Capital value under         |
|      | £150,000 or Voluntary option by the Landlord. This includes Social Landlords e.g. NIHE.             |
|      | Reduce current 10% allowance to 5%.   |
| 3.26 | Council position is that allowance should remain. The Council would support a reduction in          |
|      | the allowance, although there is no information available on the likely impact of voluntary         |
|      | registrations of allowance being reduced.   |
|      | Energy Efficient New Homes  |
| 3.27 | Proposal for a 3 year domestic rates holiday for first occupants of newly constructed energy        |
|      | efficient homes.  |
| 3.28 | The proposal is supported.  |
| 3.29 | Financial & Resource Implications   |
|      | There are no finance implications at this point.  |
| 3.30 | Equality or Good Relations Implications   |
|      | Equality of Good Relations implications   |
|      | There are no Equality or Good Relations Implications at this point.                                 |
| 4.0  | Annual disconsistent Attacked   |
| 4.0  | Appendices – Documents Attached   |
|      | Appendix 1: Rates: Rethink Consultation Document  |
|      | Appendix 2: Draft Council response to the consultation questions                                    |
|      |   |